

Team name:

Date:

Room number:

	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very good (4)	Excellent (5)
<b>Identifying interests</b> – did the team appear to understand the interests of other states? Did the team recognise other states’ strengths and weaknesses?					
<b>Advocacy</b> – how well did the team advocate for their state’s interests (clarity, persuasiveness etc)?					
<b>Outcome</b> – to what extent did the outcome serve the team and their state’s interests?					
<b>Flexibility</b> – to what extent was the team willing to compromise with other states?					
<b>Teamwork</b> – how effective was the team in working together? To what extent did both members of the team contribute equally?					
<b>Respect</b> – did the team show an appropriate degree of respect to other teams, the Chairperson, and the competition more generally?					
<b>Research</b> – to what extent has the team researched its state’s position on the subject matter of the negotiation?					
<b>Diplomacy</b> – to what extent were teams able to establish a constructive relationship or form alliances with other states?					
<b>Drafting</b> – to what extent was the team able to amend the draft clauses to reflect the general consensus?					
				Total	/45

Feedback: