(1) Written Submissions (20 points)		1–2	3–4	5–6	7–8	9–10	Score
How well did the team demonstrate in-depth legal research?		Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
How well did the team create a submission that was clear, logical, and easy to follow?		Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	5
How well did the team adhere to correct spelling, grammar and punctuation and formatting?		Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	5
(2) Oral Submissions (40 points x 2 speakers)		1–2	3–4	5–6	7–8	9–10	Score
How well did the speaker advocate for their client, understand the legal issues, and develop persuasive arguments regarding these issues?	Senior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
	Junior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
How well did the speaker apply the law to the facts, and use authoritative and relevant legal authorities to support their argument?	Senior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
	Junior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
How well did the speaker understand questions from the Bench? How well was the speaker able to make clear, measured and relevant responses?	Senior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
	Junior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
How well did the speaker engage the court in a conversational style? How well did the speaker demonstrate appropriate body language and court etiquette?	Senior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
	Junior	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	10
(3) Penalties				Comments			Score
 Have there been points deducted for incorrect AGLC4 compliance? (max. 5 points) Have there been points deducted for late submissions? Were there any other penalties applied? 							
Total (out of 100)							100

