

DATE:

JUDGE:

TEAM NAME:

INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW MOOT 2023 SCORESHEET							
1) Overall Presentation (20 each)		1–2	3–4	5–6	7–8	9–10	
<i>How well did the speaker understand the legal issues, and develop persuasive arguments regarding these issues?</i>	<b>Senior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
	<b>Junior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
<i>How well did the speaker apply the law to the facts, and use legal authorities to support their argument?</i>	<b>Senior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
	<b>Junior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
2) Questions from the Judge (20 each)		1–2	3–4	5–6	7–8	9–10	
<i>How well did the speaker understand questions? How well was the speaker able to make clear, measured and relevant responses?</i>	<b>Senior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
	<b>Junior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
<i>How well did the speaker engage the court in a conversational style? How well did the speaker demonstrate appropriate body language and court etiquette?</i>	<b>Senior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
	<b>Junior</b>	Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/10</b>
3) Written Submissions (20 total)		1–4	5–9	10–14	14–17	18–20	
<i>How well did the team demonstrate in-depth legal research? How well did the team create a submission that was clear, logical, and easy to follow?</i>		Not well	Moderately	Well	Very well	Extremely well	<b>/20</b>
<b>Additional points to consider:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• -2 for incorrect AGLC4 compliance</li> <li>• -2 for spelling, grammar and punctuation errors</li> <li>• Are the references provided authoritative and relevant?</li> </ul>							
<b>Total (100 total)</b>							<b>/100</b>

**JUDGE'S COMMENTS**

**Overall Presentation**

**Questions from the Judge**

**Written Submissions**