

Team Name:		Judge:		Client:	
Round:		Date and Time:			
CRITERIA	POINTS TO CONSIDER	PERFORMANCE (Please Circle)	COMMENTS		SCORE
Problem Analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified the key issues and/or facts Determined the client's motivations for seeking advice 	Needs work (1-2 marks)			/10
		Average (3-4 marks)			
		Good (5-6 marks)			
		Very good (7-8 marks)			
		Excellent (9-10 marks)			
Working Atmosphere	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Established effective relationship with the client Accommodated to the client's personal demeanour whilst still acting in a professional manner 	Needs work (1 mark)			/5
		Average (2 marks)			
		Good (3 marks)			
		Very good (4 marks)			
		Excellent (5 marks)			
Structure of the Interview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Professional greeting and introduction Effective conclusion — Summarised the facts and potential legal issues Where appropriate, advised the client of the next step (i.e. supporting documentation, ADR) 	Needs work (1 mark)			/5
		Average (2 marks)			
		Good (3 marks)			
		Very good (4 marks)			
		Excellent (5 marks)			

Teamwork & Professionalism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Team members worked together effectively (i.e. not talking over one another, both members asked some questions – though this need not be even) • Appropriate use of body language • Maintained appropriate eye contact with client • Asked clear and effective questions • Appropriate tone of voice 	Needs work (1 mark)		
		Average (2 marks)		
		Good (3 marks)		
		Very good (4 marks)		
		Excellent (5 marks)		
	/5			
Post-Interview Reflection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussed whether the claim has merits or is frivolous and why. • Identified issues that may need to be further determined before taking the case 	Needs work (1 mark)		
		Average (2 marks)		
		Good (3 marks)		
		Very good (4 marks)		
		Excellent (5 marks)		
	/5			
Additional Comments:				TOTAL SCORE
				/30