

# 2016 Sir Harry Gibbs Constitutional Law Moot

## Scoresheet



Melbourne University  
Law Students' Society

Judge name: _____	Date and time: _____
Team number: _____	Acting for [please circle]: Plaintiff / Defendant

### FIRST SPEAKER:

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Argument & Structure			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Strong knowledge of the facts and relevant law</li><li>Persuasive development of arguments with correct use of legal authorities</li><li>Appropriate time and focus allocated to each submission, with an emphasis on the key issues in dispute</li></ul> <p>Comments:</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>Score:</td></tr><tr><td>/20</td></tr></table>	Score:	/20
Score:			
/20			
Questions			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility and responsiveness to questions</li><li>Clear, concise and direct answers</li><li>Ability to interchange seamlessly between submissions and questions</li><li>Engagement with the court's view and the issues raised</li></ul> <p>Comments:</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>Score:</td></tr><tr><td>/10</td></tr></table>	Score:	/10
Score:			
/10			
Style			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Engages with court in a conversational style</li><li>Appropriate body language and good court etiquette</li><li>Uses clear and simple language</li><li>Consistent style and manner</li></ul> <p>Comments:</p>	<table border="1"><tr><td>Score:</td></tr><tr><td>/10</td></tr></table>	Score:	/10
Score:			
/10			
<b>First speaker total score:</b>			
<b>/40</b>			

**SECOND SPEAKER:**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Argument & Structure**

- Strong knowledge of the facts and relevant law
- Persuasive development of arguments with correct use of legal authorities
- Appropriate time and focus allocated to each submission, with an emphasis on the key issues in dispute

Comments:

**Score:**  
**/20**

**Questions**

- Flexibility and responsiveness to questions
- Clear, concise and direct answers
- Ability to interchange seamlessly between submissions and questions
- Engagement with the court's view and the issues raised

Comments:

**Score:**  
**/10**

**Style**

- Engages with court in a conversational style
- Appropriate body language and good court etiquette
- Uses clear and simple language
- Consistent style and manner

Comments:

**Score:**  
**/10**

**Second speaker total score: /40**

**Written Submissions**

- Clear and concise with appropriate use of legal authorities
- Logical, well-structured and easy to follow
- Demonstration of in-depth legal research
- Free from grammatical, punctual or spelling errors

Comments:

**Score:**  
**/20**

**TEAM TOTAL SCORE: /100**